

**SAN DIEGUITO
RIVER VALLEY**



BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Lee Haydu, President
Ellie Haviland, Vice President
Mark Hennenfent, Secretary
Patrick Meegan, CPA Treasurer
Bill Adelson
Matt Hudson, Ph.D.
Dan King, J.D.
Neil Meyer
Cindy Outlaw
Ian Ryen
Karen Searcy
Janice Swaisgood
Gary Welch
Betty Wheeler, J.D.
Gabriele Wienhausen, Ph.D.

ADVISORY BOARD

Brad Bartlett, J.D.
Karen Berger
Slader Buck
Keith Colestock
Peter DeFrancesca, CPA, Retired
Kimberly Godwin
Tom Golich
Renita Greenberg
Chris Khoury, M.D.
Eric Lodge, J.D.
Donald Mosier, M.D. Ph.D.
Nate Northup, J.D.
Phil Pryde
Oliver Ryder, Ph.D.
Peter Shapiro
Bill Simmons, J.D.
Jim Smith

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Cheryl Goddard

3030 Bunker Hill St.
Suite 309-1
San Diego, CA 92109
Ph. (858) 866-6956
sdrvc@sdrvc.org
www.sdrvc.org

July 22, 2025

Honorable Mayor and City Councilmembers
City of San Diego
202 C Street
San Diego, CA 92101

Sent via email to:

MayorToddGloria@sandiego.gov, JoeLaCava@sandiego.gov,
JenniferCampbell@sandiego.gov, StephenWhitburn@sandiego.gov,
HenryFoster@sandiego.gov, MarniVonWilpert@sandiego.gov,
KentLee@sandiego.gov, RaulCampillo@sandiego.gov,
VivianMoreno@sandiego.gov, SeanEloRivera@sandiego.gov

**RE: Opposition to Proposed Termination of Use Restrictions in
Exhibit B to the 1983 Grant Deed (Polo Fields Property)**

Dear Mayor and Councilmembers,

On behalf of the San Dieguito River Valley Conservancy, we write to express our strong opposition to the proposed termination of the land use restrictions contained in Exhibit B to the 1983 Grant Deed, which governs the City-owned Polo Fields property in the San Dieguito River Valley.

These protections were established as part of a negotiated agreement between the City of San Diego and the original developer of Fairbanks Ranch, in exchange for development entitlements that otherwise would not have been allowed under the City's Growth Management Plan. This was a promise made to the public: in return for allowing dense hillside development, more than 600 acres would be preserved as public open space, including the Surf Cup lease area and the Fairbanks Golf Course that was promised to become public (but never did), to support ecological, recreational, and watershed values. The current proposal to terminate these deed restrictions, via an agreement with Ocean Industries as a legal tactic to resolve litigation, would break that promise, set a dangerous precedent, and undermine decades of investment and public trust.

As noted by former Del Mar Mayor and open space attorney Dwight Worden, who was directly involved in drafting Proposition A (the 1985 voter-approved initiative to prevent such development exceptions), the deed restrictions were designed to ensure the land would remain available for passive and non-commercial recreation

uses, such as walking, hiking, and equestrian activities, not large-scale commercial events or vehicular-intensive operations. Over the years, this intent has been repeatedly ignored. Unpermitted or inadequately regulated events have introduced noise, lighting, traffic, and impacts incompatible with the San Dieguito River Valley's sensitive ecosystem and adjacent neighborhoods.

The legal mechanism under discussion, a potential agreement between the City and the successor to the original grantor, Ocean Industries, may offer a path to terminate the land use restrictions. We recognize that the legal interpretation of this provision, including its scope and enforceability, will ultimately be determined in court. **While this clause may provide a legal pathway to terminate the restrictions, we urge the Council to exercise its discretion not to do so. Legal authority does not equate to public benefit. The decision before you is not only legal but also moral, environmental, and regional in scope. You have the discretion to stop this, and you must use it. This is a test of integrity and priorities.**

The restrictions in Exhibit B, limiting the use of the land to agriculture, open space, and passive recreation, reflect a commitment by the City to preserve the San Dieguito River Valley's unique ecological, recreational, and scenic values for the benefit of current and future generations. The San Dieguito River Valley watershed is one of the most intact and ecologically valuable watersheds remaining in Southern California, supporting native species, migratory birds, riparian corridors, and sensitive wetlands. These protections have helped preserve this natural system for over 40 years and remain aligned with regional planning goals, including habitat conservation, climate resilience, and responsible land stewardship.

The Surf Cup site plays a key role in this system. The property sits within the floodplain of the San Dieguito River and helps protect downstream areas, including the restored wetlands at the W-19 site near the coast. Its location makes it a critical link in a regional habitat corridor and an essential part of the watershed's natural function. Keeping this land designated for open space and passive recreational use allows it to absorb runoff, support wildlife, and avoid impacts that would come with intensified commercial use. It also supports regional goals for public access and recreation by aligning with the vision of the San Dieguito River Park and maintaining the character of the area surrounding the Coast to Crest Trail. Changing the use of this land now would break that continuity and put both the environment and decades of public investment at risk.

Of particular concern is the potential impact on the Coast to Crest Trail, which runs directly along the southern edge of the Surf Cup property. This iconic regional trail segment is not incidental; it was envisioned as part of a long-term regional plan for public access, ecological protection, and recreational connectivity. Its presence has been protected by the land use restrictions in the 1983 Grant Deed. It should be noted that the

trail segment through this property remains incomplete, despite a clear obligation in the current Surf Cup lease, which has been in effect since 2016. After nine years, this requirement has yet to be fulfilled and yet to be enforced by the City. Weakening or removing the underlying land use restrictions would only make enforcement of trail completion and ongoing public access more difficult, and further jeopardize a critical link in the regional trail system.

The Coast to Crest Trail is a public treasure and a keystone of our regional open space network. Undermining its protection to resolve a legal dispute or accommodate commercial interests would compromise decades of public investment, regional planning (in which two City Councilmembers serve on the San Dieguito River Park Joint Powers Authority), and community effort. If the restrictions are terminated, the protections that have safeguarded the trail's integrity and accessibility for decades will be lost. The deed restrictions provide a critical legal foundation that ensures surrounding land uses remain compatible with quiet trail use and public enjoyment. Without them, intensified uses such as commercial events, vehicular access, and expanded infrastructure could encroach on the trail corridor, degrading the user experience and threatening its long-term viability.

The San Dieguito River Park Joint Powers Authority, along with dozens of regional partners and hundreds of community volunteers has spent decades planning, protecting, and enhancing this area for the public good. **In a key example, the City of San Diego partnered with SANDAG and Caltrans to fund the W-19 wetland restoration project, a downstream mitigation effort associated with the El Camino Real widening. Combined with the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS) mitigation program, the lagoon has benefited from nearly \$200 million in investment. These efforts have resulted in the creation of new saltwater and freshwater wetlands, riparian habitat, and expanded trail connectivity. As part of this work, the City authorized \$1.3 million to restore 20 acres within the San Dieguito River Valley to fulfill transportation-related mitigation obligations. Terminating the Exhibit B land use restrictions now would place this legacy, and the significant public investments that support it, at risk of being undermined by future land uses incompatible with watershed protection, ecological restoration, and long-term public access to open space.**

We urge you to keep these restrictions in place. Once they are removed, there may be no going back. The San Dieguito River Valley plays a vital role in protecting water quality, wildlife, and the natural character of our region. These protections are not just about preserving open space, they support the well-being of surrounding communities and help maintain a healthy environment that benefits all San Diegans.

While this may not be in your district today, the precedent set here will echo across the City. If promises made in one community can be undone for short-term convenience, what prevents the same from happening in yours tomorrow? You have both the authority and the responsibility to do what is right.

We recognize that the City must balance goals such as revenue generation and tourism. But lifting these long-standing protections for short-term gain would unravel decades of thoughtful planning, collaboration, and conservation. **Upholding Exhibit B is a forward-thinking decision that prioritizes long-term environmental health, regional quality of life, and the City's credibility as a steward of public trust.**

Thank you for your leadership and for considering the long-term public interest in this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Cheryl Goddard". The ink is dark and the signature is fluid, with the first and last names being more prominent than the middle name.

Cheryl Goddard
Executive Director
San Dieguito River Valley Conservancy

Attachment: *1983 Grant Deed – Polo Fields Property, San Dieguito River Valley*

RECORDING REQUESTED BY

Deed to open space lots and golf course lot in Fair View Country Club Unit No. 1

1878

1382964

CITY CLERK
202 "C" ST.
SAN DIEGO CA
92101

RECORDED IN
OFFICIAL RECORDS
OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CA.

1983 OCT 24 PM 2:21

VERA L. LYLE
COUNTY RECORDER

MAIL TAX STATEMENTS TO

Form
Street
Address
City & State

NO FEE

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

Corporation Grant Deed

496-073-01

TO 1021 CA (12-74)

THIS FORM FURNISHED BY TICOR TITLE INSURERS

A.P.N.

The undersigned grantor(s) declare(s):

Documentary transfer tax is \$ none

() computed on full value of property conveyed, or

() computed on full value less value of liens and encumbrances remaining at time of sale.

() Unincorporated area: () City of _____, and

FOR A VALUABLE CONSIDERATION, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, WATT INDUSTRIES/SAN DIEGO, INC.

a corporation organized under the laws of the State of California
THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, a municipal corporation

hereby GRANTS to

the following described real property in the City of San Diego
County of San Diego, State of California:

See legal description of property granted hereby set forth on Exhibit "A" attached hereto and by this reference made a part hereof.

The covenants, conditions and restrictions set forth on Exhibit "B" attached hereto are by this reference made a part hereof.

RESERVING THEREFROM, until December 31, 2044, as a mineral interest and not as a royalty interest, all of the minerals of every kind, including, but not limited to, all oil, gas, hydrocarbons and associated substances in, under or that may be extracted, produced and saved from said real property but without the right of entry to the surface of said real property or the top 500 feet of the subsurface of said real property for the purposes of exploring for, developing and removing such materials.

In Witness Whereof, said corporation has caused its corporate name and seal to be affixed hereto and this instrument to be executed by its Vice President and Assistant Secretary thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: September 19, 1983

WATT INDUSTRIES/SAN DIEGO, INC.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA } SS.
COUNTY OF San Diego

On September 19, 1983 before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said State, personally appeared Stephen C. Games

known to me to be the Vice President, and

Robert Mincer known to me to be

Asst. Secretary of the Corporation that executed the within instrument, known to me to be the persons who executed the within instrument on behalf of the Corporation therein named, and acknowledged to me that such Corporation executed the within instrument pursuant to its by-laws or a resolution of its board of directors.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature Diane E. Thatcher



(This area for official notarial seal)

Title Order No.

Escrow or Loan No.

MAIL TAX STATEMENTS AS DIRECTED ABOVE

1879

EXHIBIT "A" TO GRANT DEED

Lots 1, 2, 4, 9 and 10 of Map No. 10730 of
FAIRBANKS COUNTRY CLUB NO. 1 filed in the
Office of the County Recorder of San Diego
County, on SEPTEMBER 27, 1983

This is to certify that the interest in real property conveyed
by this instrument to the City of San Diego, a municipal
corporation, is hereby accepted by the undersigned officer
on behalf of the City of San Diego, pursuant to authority
conferred by Resolution No. 198686, adopted by the Council
of the City of San Diego on December 18, 1969, and the
grantee consents to recordation thereof by its duly
authorized officer.

Dated 9-27-83 By [Signature]
ASSISTANT TO THE City Manager

AFTER RECORDING, MAIL TO CITY CLERK

R- 259343

EXHIBIT "B" TO GRANT DEED

BY THE CONVEYANCE AND ACCEPTANCE of this Grant Deed, WATT INDUSTRIES/SAN DIEGO, INC., a California corporation ("Grantor") and THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, a municipal corporation ("Grantee"), declare, covenant and agree as follows:

1. Grantor is the owner, owns an interest in or is a partner of a partnership which is the owner (or formerly was such owner) of that certain real property located in the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, California, more particularly described as follows:

Lots 1 through 18, inclusive, of Parcel Map No. 12638 filed in the Office of the County Recorder of San Diego County on March 25, 1983

("Benefited Land").

2. Pursuant to that certain Percentage Lease between Grantor and Grantee approved by San Diego City Council Resolution No. R-257594 on December 6, 1982 ("Lease"), the real property conveyed by this Grant Deed consists of (a) premises leased for the purpose of constructing and maintaining a country club, golf course and related activities, more particularly described as follows:

Lot 2 of Map No. 10730 of FAIRBANKS COUNTRY CLUB NO. 1 filed in the Office of the County Recorder of San Diego County on SEPT. 29, 1983

("Country Club"), and (b) real property contiguous to the Country Club to be preserved and maintained as "Open Space" areas, more particularly described as follows:

Lots 1, 4, 9 and 10 of Map No. 10730 of FAIRBANKS COUNTRY CLUB NO. 1 filed in the Office of the County Recorder of San Diego County on SEPT. 29, 1983.

The Open Space is referred to herein as the "Affected Land", and, pursuant to the Lease, is to be maintained by Grantor.

3. The Affected Land is presently designated open space and as floodway zone, floodplain fringe zone and Agricultural zone (A-1-1) by the City of San Diego Progress Guide and General Plan, the Fairbanks Country Club Specific Plan and the City of San Diego's zoning maps.

4. Grantee for and on behalf of itself, and on behalf of each successive owner, during its, his, her or their ownership of any portion of the Affected Land herein granted by Grantor to Grantee, and each person having any interest in the Affected land derived through any such owner, covenants, and agrees that it, he, she or they:

(a) Shall keep and preserve the Affected Land as Open Space in a natural condition as near as possible, or may permit it to be utilized for any or all of the following purposes and no others:

(i) All agricultural uses relating to the growing, harvesting, processing or selling of field or grain crops, fruit and vegetables;

(ii) Passive non-commercial recreational uses (e.g., picnicking, walking, hiking, and similar activities), and reasonable support facilities, including any restrooms and parking facilities as may be reasonably required, for such uses;

(iii) Active non-commercial recreational uses not involving large assemblages of people or automobiles, nor involving the use of motor-driven machines or vehicles (e.g., equestrian activities, jogging, frisbee, and similar activities).

(b) Shall, notwithstanding any other provision hereof, prevent any of the following purposes, uses and activities from being conducted upon the Affected Land:

(i) Apiaries;

(ii) Aviaries;

(iii) Parking lots which are designated and intended to serve facilities located on the Affected Land other than as specifically allowed above;

(iv) Single-family dwellings;

(v) Churches, schools or day care facilities;

(vi) Public utility substations;

(vii) Raising, killing or dressing of livestock, poultry, fowl, rabbits or any other animal;

(viii) Airways, taxiways and pads of heliports and helistops;

(ix) Establishments or enterprises involving large assemblages of people or automobiles, including, but not limited to, recreational facilities publicly or privately operated;

(x) Fairgrounds;

(xi) Natural resources development and utilization, including, but not limited to, extracting, processing, storing, selling and distributing sand, gravel, rock, clay, decomposed granite and soil, and the manufacturing, producing, processing, storing, selling and distributing of asphaltic concrete, Portland Cement concrete, concrete products and clay products;

(xii) Racetracks;

(xiii) Travel trailer parks together with incidental facilities for the convenience of occupants;

(xiv) Dams and reservoirs;

(xv) Ground water replenishment works, including, but not limited to, diversion dams, percolation beds, spreading grounds and injection wells; provided, however, that desiltation facilities are expressly permitted to be built and maintained upon the Affected Land;

(xvi) Accessory buildings, other than as may be specifically allowed hereinabove, and uses customarily incidental to any of the above uses, including, but not limited to:

(A) The boarding and lodging of farm or other employees;

(B) Construction and maintenance of living quarters for farm or other employees with or without their immediate families;

(C) Lighted signs, commercial signs or unlighted signs, single-faced or double-faced exceeding 12 square feet in area for each face;

(xvii) Any other use similar in character to the uses, including accessory uses, enumerated in this section and inconsistent with the purpose and intent of this deed restriction.

5. (a) Grantee or its successors shall permit no use of the Affected Land in violation of the provisions hereof. In the event any use is contemplated which is not specifically permitted by the terms of this document, such use shall not be allowed without Grantee having first obtained Grantor's (or Grantor's successors') written consent thereto. Grantor or its successors shall not unreasonably withhold such consent. If Grantor or its successors disapprove a contemplated use, such disapproval shall be in writing and shall specify, with reasonable particularity, the reason(s) for such disapproval. If Grantor or its successors fail either to so approve or disapprove such contemplated use within thirty (30) days after the same have been submitted to Grantor or its successors, it shall be conclusively presumed that Grantor or its successors have approved such use. Such submission shall be deemed effective if Grantee submits its written request for consent to Grantor, or its corporate successors, and any homeowners associations in the Benefited Land area and posts signs describing such proposed use in at least twenty (20) locations reasonably calculated to give adequate notice of such proposed use to all of Grantor's successors.

(b) Grantor and Grantee agree that in the event of a dispute between them or their successors with respect to whether Grantor or its successors have unreasonably withheld their approval of a contemplated use submitted in accordance with the foregoing, they shall submit any such dispute to arbitration in accordance with the following provisions:

(i) Within fifteen (15) days after the written demand by either of the parties for arbitration, each of the

parties shall choose an arbitrator and give the other written notice of such choice, or in case of the failure of either party so to do, the other party shall have the right to appoint an arbitrator to represent the defaulting party. The two arbitrators thus appointed (in either manner) shall select and appoint in writing a third arbitrator and give written notice thereof to Grantor and Grantee or their successors, or if within ten (10) days after the appointment of said second arbitrator, the two arbitrators shall fail to appoint a third, then either party shall have the right to make application to the Superior Court of San Diego County to appoint such third arbitrator.

(ii) The three arbitrators so appointed (in either manner) shall promptly fix a convenient time and place for hearing the matter to be arbitrated and shall give written notice thereof to each party at least ten (10) days prior to the date so fixed. The hearing date shall be set for not more than sixty (60) days from the date of the demand for arbitration unless it is necessary to apply to the Superior Court for appointment of a third arbitrator. In such latter event, the hearing date shall be set for not more than thirty (30) days after the date such third arbitrator is so appointed. The arbitrators shall, within ten (10) business days after the hearing, render their decision with respect to whether Grantor or its successors have unreasonably withheld their approval of a contemplated use submitted to them.

(iii) The decision or award of the majority of the arbitrators shall be final and nonappealable except that upon the satisfaction of the conditions set forth in Section 1286.4 of the California Code of Civil Procedure, the decision or award of the majority of the arbitrators may be vacated upon the grounds set forth in Section 1286.2 of said Code of Civil Procedure. Further, any decision or award of the majority of the arbitrators may, upon satisfaction of the conditions set forth in Section 1286.8 of the Code of Civil Procedure, be corrected in accordance with the provisions of Section 1286.6 of said Code of Civil Procedure.

(iv) If two of the three arbitrators first appointed as aforesaid shall fail to reach an agreement in the determination of the matter in question, the same shall be decided by three new arbitrators, who shall be appointed and shall proceed in the same manner and within the same time frame, as hereinabove set forth, and said process shall be repeated until a decision is finally reached by two of the three arbitrators selected.

(v) Each party shall pay the costs and fees of the arbitrator chosen by such party and shall pay one-half of such costs and fees of the third arbitrator.

(c) Neither Grantor nor its successors shall be liable in damages to anyone on whose behalf a contemplated use is submitted for approval. Every person who submits a contemplated use for approval, either directly or through Grantee, agrees that he, she or it will not bring any action or suit against Grantor or its successors to recover any such damages.

6. Notwithstanding any other provision hereof, for a period of ten (10) years following the date of execution of this Grant

Deed, Grantor, or its successors, shall have the right to acquire easements from Grantee, at no cost, for utilities and public right of way required by governmental agencies, including Grantee, to serve the Benefited Land.

7. Notwithstanding any other provision hereof, Grantee shall have the right to establish underground utility easements upon the Affected Land provided said easements do not adversely affect or interfere with Open Space or Golf Course activities conducted on the Country Club portion of the deeded property.

8. Notwithstanding any other provision hereof, Grantor reserves the right to relocate all or a portion of Via de la Valle upon the Affected Land upon the request of Grantee's City Engineer.

9. Notwithstanding any other provision hereof, Grantor reserves a water utility easement in gross, in, over, under and across the Affected Land and the Benefited Land, to serve the Country Club, for the purpose of importing water from public or private sources to benefit the Country Club. However, in exercising its rights under this provision, Grantor will not unreasonably interfere with Grantee's use of, nor the open space nature of, the Affected Land.

10. Notwithstanding any other provision hereof, Grantor shall be permitted to build and maintain upon the Affected Land no more than five (5) signs promoting and advertising Olympic Games and/or Grantor's real property development upon the Benefited Land and the Country Club portion of the deeded property. The locations, style and design of such signs shall be at Grantor's sole discretion, subject to applicable City ordinances, as shall the period of time during which such signs, or any of them, shall remain erected. In no event, however, shall any such signs remain erected after ten (10) years from the date of execution of this Grant Deed.

11. Monetary damages for the breach of the covenants contained herein are declared to be inadequate and Grantee or its successors may be enjoined by any court of competent jurisdiction from commencing or proceeding with the construction of any improvements to, or permitting any use upon, the Affected Land which are in violation of the covenants set forth herein, or, if an improvement is constructed, may be ordered by any court of competent jurisdiction to remove such improvements.

12. Each successive owner, during its, his, her or their ownership, of any portion of the Affected Land, and each person having any interest in the Affected land derived through any such owner, shall be bound hereby for the benefit of the Benefited Land. Each successive owner, during its, his, her or their ownership, of any portion of the Benefited land, and each person having any interest in the Benefited Land derived through the Grantor, shall be benefited by the covenants contained herein, it being intended that the burden and benefit of the covenants shall run with the land.

13. Any violation of the covenants herein contained shall be deemed to be a continuing violation hereof and no delay in the delivery of any notice of any violation hereof or in the enforcement of any rights or the seeking of any remedies provided hereunder shall constitute, or be deemed to constitute, a waiver of

the right to give such notice, enforce such right or seek such remedy at any time after the occurrence of such violation.

14. Except in the event of arbitration in accordance with Paragraph 5 above, if any owner(s) of the Affected Land or the Benefited land commences litigation for the judicial interpretation, enforcement or rescission hereof, the prevailing party shall be entitled to a judgment against the other for an amount equal to reasonable attorney's fees and other costs incurred.

15. The covenants herein contained are for the benefit of the Benefited Land and have been made with the intent of satisfying the requirements of Section 1468 of the California Civil Code.

16. In the event any term, covenant, condition, provision or agreement herein contained is held to be invalid, void or otherwise unenforceable by any court of competent jurisdiction, the invalidity of any such term, covenant, condition, provision or agreement shall in no way affect the validity of any other term, covenant, condition, provision or agreement herein contained.

17. So long as WATT INDUSTRIES/SAN DIEGO, INC., a California corporation, its successors or assigns, or any partnership of which WATT INDUSTRIES/SAN DIEGO, INC. is a partner, owns any portion of the Benefited Land, and THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, a municipal corporation, owns any portion of the Affected Land, the provisions contained within this Exhibit "B" to this Grant Deed may be terminated or amended by an instrument in writing executed by both and recorded in the Office of the County Recorder of San Diego County, California, without the need for approval by any other owner of any portion of the Benefited Land or the Affected Land. The term "successors or assigns" as used in this Paragraph only, shall mean the named corporation or any person or entity hereafter acquiring all of the then existing assets of the same by purchase, liquidation, merger or reorganization.

GRANTEE hereby accepts the above covenants, conditions and restrictions to this Grant Deed. These covenants, conditions and restrictions shall terminate and be of no further force or effect at 11:59 p.m. on December 31, 2044.

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

BY




ASSISTANT TO THE City Manager

APPROVED as to form and legality this 27 day of September, 1983.

JOHN W. WITT, City Attorney

BY



Deputy

1887

RESOLUTION NUMBER R- 259343

Adopted on SEP 27 1983

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
1983 OCT 12 PM 11:50
(R-84-179 REV. 1)
SAN DIEGO, CALIF.

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of The City of San Diego as follows:

That the acceptance by the City Manager of that grant deed of WATT INDUSTRIES/SAN DIEGO, INC., a California corporation, executed in favor of The City of San Diego, bearing date of September 19, 1983, a copy of which deed is on file in the Office of the City Clerk as Document No. RR- 259343, granting to said City Lots 1, 2, 4, 9 and 10 of FAIRBANKS COUNTRY CLUB UNIT NO. 1, subject to the conditions and restrictions in the grant deed relating to utilization of the property for open space and related purposes for a period ending December 31, 2044, as more particularly described in said deed, be, and the same is hereby approved.

That the City Clerk is authorized and directed to forward the deed, and a certified copy of this resolution, attested by him under seal, to the Engineering Department for further handling.

APPROVED: John W. Witt, City Attorney

By C. M. Fitzgerald (For)
Harold O. Valderhaug, Deputy

HOV:ps
9/15/83
Revised 9/21/83
Or. Dept: E&D
T.M.83-0355
W.O.830218
Form=r.deedr

F. 2085

RR- 259343
DOCUMENT NO.

FILED SEP 27 1983

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, Deed of Watt Industries/San Diego,
Inc., to City of San Diego.

1886

1888

Passed and adopted by the Council of The City of San Diego
on SEP 27 1983, by the following vote:

YEAS: Cleator, McColl, Jones, Struiksma, Murphy, Martinez, Mayor Hedgecock.

NAYS: Mitchell, Gotch.

NOT PRESENT: None.

AUTHENTICATED BY:

ROGER HEDGECK
Mayor of The City of San Diego, California.

CHARLES G. ABDELNOUR
City Clerk of The City of San Diego, California.

(SEAL)

By MAYDELL L. PONTECORVO, Deputy.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the above and foregoing is a full,
true and correct copy of RESOLUTION NO. R- 259343
passed and adopted by the Council of The City of San Diego,
California, on SEP 27 1983.

CHARLES G. ABDELNOUR
City Clerk of The City of San Diego, California.

(SEAL)

By Maydell L. Pontecorvo, Deputy.